Inorganic Chemistry

Hydrolysis of Coordinated Diazoalkanes To Yield Side-On 1,2-Diazene Derivatives

Gabriele Albertin,*^{,†} Stefano Antoniutti,[†] Alessandra Botter,[†] and Jesús Castro[‡]

[†]Dipartimento di Scienze Molecolari e Nanosistemi, Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Dorsoduro 2137, 30123 Venezia, Italy [‡]Facultade de Química, Edificio de Ciencias Experimentais, Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Universidade de Vigo, 36310 Vigo, Galicia, Spain

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Diazoalkane complexes $[\operatorname{Ru}(\eta^5 - C_5 \operatorname{Me}_5) - (N_2 \operatorname{CAr1Ar2})(\operatorname{PPh}_3) \{\operatorname{P}(\operatorname{OR})_3\}]\operatorname{BPh}_4$ [R = Me (1), Et (2); Ar1 = Ar2 = Ph (a); Ar1 = Ph, Ar2 = p-tolyl (b); Ar1Ar2 = $C_{12}\operatorname{H}_8$ (c)] were prepared by allowing chloro complexes RuCl $(\eta^5 - C_5 \operatorname{Me}_5)(\operatorname{PPh}_3)[\operatorname{P}(\operatorname{OR})_3]$ to react with diazoalkane Ar1Ar2CN₂ in ethanol. The treatment of compounds 1 and 2 with H₂O afforded 1,2-diazene derivatives [Ru $(\eta^5 - C_5 \operatorname{Me}_5)(\eta^2 - \operatorname{NH}=\operatorname{NH})(\operatorname{PPh}_3)$ {P-(OR)₃}]BPh₄ (3 and 4) and ketone Ar1Ar2CO. A reaction path involving nucleophilic attack by H₂O on the coordinated diazoalkane is proposed. The complexes were characterized spectroscopically (IR and NMR) and by X-ray crystal structure determination of [Ru $(\eta^5 - C_5 \operatorname{Me}_5)(\eta^2 - \operatorname{NH}=\operatorname{NH})(\operatorname{PPh}_3)$ {Pr(OMe)₃]BPh₄ (3).

T he chemistry of transition-metal complexes containing diazoalkanes Ar1Ar2CN₂ as ligands is of long-standing interest¹⁻³ because of not only the close relationship with N₂ coordination and fixation processes⁴ but also the various coordination modes and reactivity of metal-bonded diazoalkane.^{1-3,5} Carbene complexes can be obtained^{1,2a,f,5} from Ar1Ar2CN₂ derivatives after extrusion of N₂, but in some cases, dinitrogen M–N₂ complexes form.^{2f,h,i} N–N bond cleavage,^{2d} reduction of a coordinated N₂CAr1Ar2 ligand,^{2f,h} and 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition^{3a,b} with alkene and alkyne were also observed.

As part of our study of diazoalkane complexes, we now report a novel reaction of coordinated Ar1Ar2CN₂, which undergoes an unprecedented hydrolysis reaction, yielding 1,2-diazene complexes $[M](\eta^2$ -NH=NH) and ketone Ar1Ar2CO.

Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl half-sandwich complexes RuCl- $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})(PPh_{3})[P(OR)_{3}]$ react with an excess of diazoalkane Ar1Ar2CN₂, in the presence of NaBPh₄, to give the diazoalkane derivatives [Ru($\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})(N_{2}CAr1Ar2)(PPh_{3}){P-}(OR)_{3}]BPh_{4}$ (1 and 2) in good yield (Scheme 1).

The complexes are reddish-brown solids stable in air and in a solution of polar organic solvents, where they behave as 1:1 electrolytes.⁶ The IR spectra show a medium-intensity band at 1950–1919 cm⁻¹, attributed to $\nu_{C=N=N}$ of the coordinated diazoalkane. This value also suggests an *end-on* η^1 -coordination mode for the Ar1Ar2CN₂ group,¹ like that found in the comparable cyclopentadienyl derivatives [Ru(η^5 -C₅H₅)-(N₂CAr1Ar2)(PPh₃){P(OR)₃}]BPh₄.^{3a} Besides the signals of the ancillary ligands C₅Me₅, PPh₃, and P(OR)₃ and the BPh₄⁻



^{*a*}R = Me (1), Et (2); Ar1 = Ar2 = Ph (a); Ar1 = Ph, Ar2 = *p*-tolyl (b); Ar1Ar2 = $C_{12}H_8$ (c).

anion, the ¹H NMR spectra of compounds 1 and 2 show the characteristic resonances of the substituents $4\text{-}CH_3C_6H_4$ and $C_{12}H_8$ of the diazoalkane, whereas the ³¹P NMR spectra are AB multiplets, fitting the proposed formulation for the complexes.

At room temperature, diazoalkane complexes 1 and 2 react with H₂O to afford 1,2-diazene derivatives [Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(η^2 -NH=NH)(PPh₃){P(OR)₃}]BPh₄ (3 and 4), which were isolated in about quantitative yield and characterized (Scheme 2). The ketone Ar1Ar2CO was also separated from the reaction mixture in about quantitative yield, indicating the stoichiometry shown in Scheme 2 for the hydrolysis reaction.

The formation of diazene complexes **3** and **4** is rather surprising but may be explained as due to the nucleophilic attack of H_2O on the carbon atom of the coordinated diazoalkane, according to the reaction path shown in Scheme 3.



Received: December 11, 2014 Published: February 19, 2015

Scheme 3^{*a*}



 H_2O attack, with C–O bond formation, is followed by the shift of one hydrogen atom, giving hydrazido intermediate [**A**]. This species is probably unstable and may give rise to intramolecular hydrogen transfer from the acidic OH group to the hydrazido N α group with concurrent cleavage of the C sp³–N β bond, affording free ketone Ar1Ar2C=O and the 1,2-diazene molecule, which acts as a π -bonded ligand. The progress of the reaction between complex 1 and H₂O was followed by NMR in an attempt to detect any intermediate such as [**A**]. Unfortunately, as the reaction proceeded, no new species were observed in the spectra, apart from the reagents and the final diazene **3** and ketone Ar1Ar2CO. However, nucleophilic attack at the carbon atom of coordinated diazoalkanes has previously been reported,⁷ and this precedent supports the path we propose in Scheme 3.

Free diazomethane and substituted ones are reported to undergo hydrolysis,^{8,9} yielding alcohol and N₂. Coordination at our $[Ru(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(PPh_3){P(OR)_3}]^+$ fragment entails a novel reactivity toward hydrolysis, affording diazene NH==NH and ketone.

1,2-Diazene is a very unstable species¹⁰ that can be stabilized by coordination on a metal center.^{11–13} It is a molecule of possible importance to the inorganic and bioinorganic N_2 reduction process¹⁴ and was prepared from oxidation of hydrazine complexes.^{11–13} Hydrolysis of a coordinated diazoalkane highlights a new method of synthesizing this important nitrogen dihydride species.

Good analytical data were obtained for diazene complexes $[Ru(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(\eta^2-NH=NH)(PPh_3){P(OR)_3}]BPh_4$ (3 and 4), which were isolated as stable yellow solids and characterized by conductivity measurements and IR and NMR spectra.

At room temperature, the ¹H NMR spectra of diazene complexes 3 and 4 show only the characteristic signals of the ancillary ligands C₅Me₅, PPh₃, and P(OR)₃. However, the ¹⁵Nlabeled complexes $[Ru(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(\eta^2-1^5NH=NH)(PPh_3)]$ $(OR)_3$]BPh₄ (3a and 4a) were synthesized, and their protoncoupled ¹⁵N NMR spectra show a doublet of multiplets at -210.9 (3a) and -211.1 (4a) ppm, which can be simulated with an NXYAB model (N = ${}^{15}N$; X, Y = ${}^{1}H$; A, B = ${}^{31}P$; see the Supporting Information, Figure S1) with ${}^{1}J_{_{NH}}^{_{15}} = 92.4$ Hz and ${}^{2}J_{_{NH}}^{_{5}} = 2.7$ Hz. The spectra collapsed to a single multiplet upon ¹H decoupling, confirming the presence of the NH=NH moiety in the complexes. The ³¹P NMR spectra are AB multiplets (ABN in the ¹⁵N-labeled complex), fitting the proposed formulation for the complexes, and this was further supported by X-ray crystal structure determination of 3, the ORTEP of which is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. ORTEP¹⁵ view of the cation of **3**. P1 represents a PPh₃ ligand, and P2 represents a P(OMe)₃ ligand. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: Ru–CT1, 1.9212(3); Ru–CT2, 1.9160(3); Ru–C1, 2.257(4); Ru–C2, 2.304(3); Ru–C3, 2.295(4); Ru–C4, 2.243(5); Ru–C5, 2.216(4); Ru–C_{av}, 2.263; Ru–N1, 2.030(3); Ru–N2, 2.039(3); Ru–P1, 2.3720(9); Ru–P2, 2.2964(11); N1–N2, 1.367(5); CT1–Ru–N1, 115.77(9); CT1–Ru–N2, 121.95(9); N1–Ru–P2, 116.86(10); N2–Ru–P2, 85.58(12); CT1–Ru–P1, 129.07(2); CT1–Ru–P2, 119.76(3); N1–Ru–P1, 81.14(10); N2–Ru–P1, 101.98(10); P1–Ru–P2, 85.80(4); CT2–Ru–CT1, 120.783(15); CT2–Ru–P1, 91.67(2); CT2–Ru–P2, 101.45(3). CT1 represents the centroid of the Cp ligand and CT2 the middle of the N1–N2 bond.

Compound 3 consists of the tetraphenylborate salt of a ruthenium complex, which crystallizes with a CH₂Cl₂ solvent molecule. Only the cation is shown in Figure 1. The cation complex contains a ruthenium atom in a pseudooctahedral halfsandwich piano-stool structure, coordinated by a η^5 -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand (Cp*) having one side-on η^2 diazene (η^2 -HNNH) and two phosphine ligands [one PPh₃ and one $P(OMe)_3$ as legs. The Ru–N bond lengths, 2.030(3) and 2.038(3) Å, are shorter than those found in [Ru(η^2 -NH= NH)(depe)₂], being 2.123(4) and 2.134(3) Å, 13a and this was attributed to the different trans behavior of Cp* and depe ligands. It is worth noting that, to the best of our knowledge, only two nonbridging side-on diazene ruthenium complexes have been crystallographically described, the aforementioned $[Ru(\eta^2-NH=NH)(depe)_2]$ and the closely related $[Ru(\eta^2-NH=NH)(depe)_2]$ $NH=NH)(dmpe)_2]$ complex.^{13a} In those compounds, the N– N bond lengths were reported to be 1.414(5) and 1.427(3) Å, respectively; in 3, this value is now 1.366(5) Å. Both values are shorter than those found in other ruthenium end-on-bound hydrazine complexes (between 1.38 and 1.48 Å), so that they can be considered as multiple bonds. However, these values are longer than those found in other ruthenium diazene complexes reported in the literature (about 1.28 Å),^{13a} especially those in the $[Ru(\eta^2-NH=NH)(depe)_2]$ complex. Their authors attributed the lengthening of the N-N bond to back-bonding from filled d orbitals of ruthenium to the antibonding π^* orbitals of the diazene ligand.

Further studies on both hydrolysis and other reactions of metal-bonded diazoalkane derivatives are in progress.

Inorganic Chemistry

S Supporting Information

Experimental and spectroscopic details and crystallographic data in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: albertin@unive.it.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Daniela Baldan, from the Università Ca' Foscari Venezia (Italy), for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Dartiguenave, M.; Menu, M. J.; Deydier, E.; Dartiguenave, Y.; Siebald, H. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *178–180*, 623–663. (b) Mizobe, Y.; Ishii, Y.; Hidai, M. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *139*, 281–311.

(2) (a) Iluc, V. M.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6479-6488. (b) Matson, E. M.; Fanwick, P. E.; Bart, S. C. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 5471-5478. (c) Khosla, C.; Jackson, A. B.; White, P. S.; Templeton, J. L. Organometallics 2012, 31, 987-994. (d) Russell, S. K.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 36-37. (e) Mankad, N. P.; Peters, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1061-1063. (f) Zhang, J.; Gandelman, M.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3526-3530. (g) Samant, R. G.; Graham, T. W.; Rowsell, B. D.; McDonald, R.; Cowie, M. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3070-3081 and references cited therein. (h) Bart, S. C.; Bowman, A. C.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7212-7213. (i) Cohen, R.; Rybtchinski, B.; Gandelman, M.; Rozenberg, H.; Martin, J. M. L.; Milstein, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6532-6546. (j) Rowsell, B. D.; Trepanier, S. J.; Lam, R.; McDonald, R.; Cowie, M. Organometallics 2002, 21, 3228-3237. (k) Gao, Y.; Jennings, M. C.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Jenkins, H. A. Organometallics 2001, 20, 3500-3509.

(3) (a) Albertin, G.; Antoniutti, S.; Baldan, D.; Castro, J.; Comparin, G. Organometallics 2013, 32, 3157–3160. (b) Albertin, G.; Antoniutti, S.; Botter, A.; Castro, J.; Giacomello, M. Organometallics 2014, 33, 3570–3582. (c) Albertin, G.; Antoniutti, S.; Callegaro, F.; Castro, J. Organometallics 2009, 28, 4475–4479. (d) Albertin, G.; Antoniutti, S.; Bordignon, E.; Carrera, B. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 4646–4650.

(4) (a) Hidai, M.; Mizobe, Y. Can. J. Chem. 2005, 83, 358-374.
(b) Hidai, M.; Mizobe, Y. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1115-1133.
(c) Zollinger, H. Diazo Chemistry II; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1995. (d) Sutton, D. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 995-1022. (e) Sellmann, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1993, 32, 64-67.

(5) (a) Egloff, J.; Ranocchiari, M.; Schira, A.; Schotes, C.; Mezzetti, A. Organometallics **2013**, 32, 4690–4701. (b) Nomura, M.; Kusui, A.; Kajitani, M. Organometallics **2005**, 24, 2811–2818. (c) Mindiola, D. J.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 9976–9977.

(6) Geary, W. J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1971, 7, 81-122.

(7) Ben-Shoshan, R.; Chatt, J.; Leigh, G. J.; Hussain, W. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1980**, 771–775.

(8) Patai, S. *The chemistry of diazonium and diazo groups;* John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, U.K., 1978.

(9) McGarrity, J. F.; Smyth, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7303-7308.

(10) Wiberg, N.; Bachhuber, H.; Fischer, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1972, 11, 829-830.

(11) (a) Sellmann, D.; Hille, A.; Rosler, A.; Heinemann, F. W.; Moll, M.; Brehm, G.; Schneider, S.; Reiher, M.; Hess, B. A.; Bauer, W. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 819–830. (b) Sellmann, D.; Käppler, J.; Moll, M.; Knoch, F. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 960–964. (c) Fujisawa, K.; Lehnert, N.; Ishikawa, Y.; Okamoto, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 4944–4947. Communication

(12) (a) Cheng, T.-Y.; Ponce, A.; Rheingold, A. L.; Hillhouse, G. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1994, 33, 657–659. (b) Smith, M. R., III; Cheng, T.-Y.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 8638–8642. (c) Zhang, Q.-F.; Zheng, H.; Wong, W.-Y.; Wong, W.-T.; Leung, W.-H. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 5255–5264.

(13) (a) Field, L. D.; Li, H. L.; Dalgarno, S. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 6214–6221 and references cited therein. (b) Field, L. D.; Li, H. L.; Dalgarno, S. J.; Turner, P. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 1680–1682.

(14) (a) Barney, B. M.; McClead, J.; Lukoyanov, D.; Laryukhin, M.;
Yang, T.-C.; Dean, D. R.; Hoffmann, B. M.; Seefeldt, L. C. Biochemistry
2007, 46, 6784–6794. (b) Coucouvanis, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1991, 24, 1–8. (c) Back, R. A. Rev. Chem. Intermed. 1984, 5, 293–323. (d) Thornerley, R. N. F.; Eady, R. R.; Lowe, D. J. Nature 1978, 272, 557–558.

(15) Farrugia, L. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1997, 30, 565-565.